Stability of volume-preserving mean curvature flow & optimal convergence rates for the nonlocal Allen–Cahn equation

Tim Laux

University of Regensburg

81st Fujihara Seminar, Niseko Village

June 3, 2024

Volume-preserving mean curvature flow

Find evolving surface $\Sigma(t)$ such that

$$V = -H + \lambda \quad \text{on } \Sigma(t),$$

where

$$V = V(x, t) = \text{normal velocity},$$

$$H = H(x, t) = \text{mean curvature} = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} \kappa_i,$$

$$\lambda = \lambda(t) := \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\Sigma(t))} \int_{\Sigma(t)} H \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{d-1}.$$

Gradient-flow structure

| Energy: | $E[\Sigma] = \mathcal{H}^{d-1}(\Sigma),$ |
|----------------|--|
| State space: | $\mathcal{M} = \big\{ \Sigma = \partial \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d \big \Omega = m \big\},$ |
| Tangent space: | $T_{\Sigma}\mathcal{M} \cong \{V \colon \Sigma \to \mathbb{R} \mid \int_{\Sigma} V \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{d-1} = 0\},\$ |
| Metric tensor: | $(V, W)_{\Sigma} = \int_{\Sigma} V W \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{d-1}.$ |

Gradient-flow structure

$$\begin{array}{ll} {\rm Energy:} & E[\Sigma] = {\mathcal H}^{d-1}(\Sigma), \\ {\rm State \ space:} & {\mathcal M} = \big\{ \Sigma = \partial \Omega \subset {\mathbb R}^d \ \big| \ |\Omega| = m \big\}, \\ {\rm Tangent \ space:} & T_{\Sigma} {\mathcal M} \cong \big\{ V \colon \Sigma \to {\mathbb R} \ \big| \ \int_{\Sigma} V \, {\rm d} {\mathcal H}^{d-1} = 0 \big\}, \\ {\rm Metric \ tensor:} & (V, W)_{\Sigma} = \int_{\Sigma} V W \, {\rm d} {\mathcal H}^{d-1}. \end{array}$$

Indeed, if $\Sigma(t)$ is a smooth solution of $V = -H + \lambda$, then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} E[\Sigma(t)] = \int_{\Sigma(t)} V(H - \lambda(t)) \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{d-1} = -\int_{\Sigma(t)} V^2 \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{d-1}$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}|\Omega(t)| = \int_{\Sigma(t)} V \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{d-1} = 0,$$

and hence

$$|\Omega(t)| = |\Omega(0)| =: m.$$

Motivation & Applications

- Geometry: Simplest area-decreasing geometric flow that preserves the enclosed volume [Gage '86, Huisken '87, Escher–Simonett '98]
- PDE: Appears as sharp-interface limit of reaction-diffusion systems [Rubinstein–Sternberg '92, Chen–Hilhorst–Logak '10, Bronsard–Stoth '97, L.–Simon '18, Takasao '17, '22]
- Materials Science: Basic model for Ostwald ripening; efficient method: thresholding/MBO scheme proposed by [Ruuth–Wetton '03], convergence proof by [L.–Swartz '17]
- Data Science: Arises as scaling limit of algorithms in unsupervised and semi-supervised learning [L.–Lelmi '21, L.–Lelmi '23], [Jacobs–Merkurjev–Esedoğlu '20, Krämer–L. '24+]



Simulation using thresholding



1st Main Result: Weak-strong uniqueness

Theorem (L. '22)

Classical solutions are unique and stable in the class of all *distributional solutions*.

1st Main Result: Weak-strong uniqueness

Theorem (L. '22)

Classical solutions are unique and stable in the class of all distributional solutions.

The result is non-trivial because:

- there are many examples of physical non-uniqueness when starting from singular configurations.
- There is no comparison principle, so uniqueness is subtle.
- Some weak solutions are fatally non-unique.

1st Main Result: Weak-strong uniqueness

Theorem (L. '22)

Classical solutions are unique and stable in the class of all *distributional solutions*.

The result is non-trivial because:

- there are many examples of physical non-uniqueness when starting from singular configurations.
- There is no comparison principle, so uniqueness is subtle.
- Some weak solutions are fatally non-unique.

Follows in two steps:

Theorem 1. Any classical solution is a calibrated flow.

Theorem 2. Any calibrated flow is unique and stable in the class of all distributional solutions.

Notion of solution

Classical solution: evolving surface $(\Sigma^*(t))_{t\in[0,T]}$ of class $C^{3,\alpha}$ satisfying

 $V^* = -H^* + \lambda^*$ everywhere on $\Sigma^*(t)$, for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Notion of solution

Classical solution: evolving surface $(\Sigma^*(t))_{t \in [0,T]}$ of class $C^{3,\alpha}$ satisfying

 $V^* = -H^* + \lambda^*$ everywhere on $\Sigma^*(t)$, for all $t \in [0, T]$.

Distributional solution: $\chi = \chi(x,t) \in L^{\infty}((0,T); BV(\mathbb{R}^d; \{0,1\})),$ $V = V(x,t) |\nabla \chi|$ -measurable, $\lambda = \lambda(t)$ measurable s.t. 1. $\partial_t \chi = V |\nabla \chi|$ 2. $(V - \lambda) \nabla \chi = -\nabla \cdot \left((I_d - \frac{\nabla \chi}{|\nabla \chi|} \otimes \frac{\nabla \chi}{|\nabla \chi|}) |\nabla \chi| \right)$ 3. For a.e. $T' \in (0,T)$

$$E[\chi(\cdot, T')] + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, T')} V^2 |\nabla \chi| \, \mathrm{d}t \le E[\chi(\cdot, 0)].$$

4. For a.e. $t \in (0, T)$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi(\cdot, t) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi(\cdot, 0) dx$. 5. $\lambda \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(0, T)$.

Calibrated flows

New concept of gradient-flow calibrations, first introduced by [Fischer–Hensel–L.–Simon '24 JEMS]. This is a dynamic version of the classical concept of calibrations. Here, we need to modify the concept to the volume-constrained case.

Calibrated flows

New concept of gradient-flow calibrations, first introduced by [Fischer–Hensel–L.–Simon '24 JEMS]. This is a dynamic version of the classical concept of calibrations. Here, we need to modify the concept to the volume-constrained case.

Key players:

- ξ : extension of the normal vector field ν^*
- ► B: extension of the velocity vector field $V^*\nu^*$
- ▶ ϑ : (truncation of) the signed distance function $sdist(\cdot, \Sigma^*(t))$.
- ► λ^* : Lagrange-multiplier for volume constraint

Calibrated flows

New concept of gradient-flow calibrations, first introduced by [Fischer–Hensel–L.–Simon '24 JEMS]. This is a dynamic version of the classical concept of calibrations. Here, we need to modify the concept to the volume-constrained case.

Key players:

- ξ : extension of the normal vector field ν^*
- ► B: extension of the velocity vector field $V^*\nu^*$
- ▶ ϑ : (truncation of) the signed distance function $sdist(\cdot, \Sigma^*(t))$.
- > λ^* : Lagrange-multiplier for volume constraint

Conditions:

- ▶ B (almost) transports ξ and ϑ .
- $\blacktriangleright B \cdot \xi = -\nabla \cdot \xi + \lambda^* + O(\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, \Sigma^*(t)))$
- $\blacktriangleright |\xi| \le \max\{1 C\vartheta^2, 0\}$
- $\blacktriangleright \nabla \cdot B = O(\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, \Sigma^*(t)))$

Theorem 1. Any classical solution is a calibrated flow.

Theorem 1. Any classical solution is a calibrated flow.

Key novelty: Don't want to extend $V^*\nu^*$ in a trivial way! Instead, want to enforce the local volume preservation condition

 $\nabla \cdot B = 0$ on $\Sigma^*(t)$.

Theorem 1. Any classical solution is a calibrated flow.

Key novelty: Don't want to extend $V^*\nu^*$ in a trivial way! Instead, want to enforce the local volume preservation condition

$$\nabla \cdot B = 0$$
 on $\Sigma^*(t)$.

Ansatz: Take $B(\cdot,t):=\nabla\varphi$ where φ solves

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \varphi = 0 & \text{ in } \Omega^*(t), \\ \nu^*(\cdot, t) \cdot \nabla \varphi = V^*(\cdot, t) & \text{ on } \Sigma^*(t) = \partial \Omega^*(t). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1. Any classical solution is a calibrated flow.

Key novelty: Don't want to extend $V^*\nu^*$ in a trivial way! Instead, want to enforce the local volume preservation condition

$$abla \cdot B = 0$$
 on $\Sigma^*(t)$.

Ansatz: Take $B(\cdot,t):=\nabla\varphi$ where φ solves

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \varphi = 0 & \text{ in } \Omega^*(t), \\ \nu^*(\cdot, t) \cdot \nabla \varphi = V^*(\cdot, t) & \text{ on } \Sigma^*(t) = \partial \Omega^*(t) \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2. Any calibrated flow is unique and stable in the class of all distributional solutions.

Theorem 1. Any classical solution is a calibrated flow.

Key novelty: Don't want to extend $V^*\nu^*$ in a trivial way! Instead, want to enforce the local volume preservation condition

$$abla \cdot B = 0$$
 on $\Sigma^*(t)$.

Ansatz: Take $B(\cdot,t):=\nabla\varphi$ where φ solves

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \varphi = 0 & \text{ in } \Omega^*(t), \\ \nu^*(\cdot, t) \cdot \nabla \varphi = V^*(\cdot, t) & \text{ on } \Sigma^*(t) = \partial \Omega^*(t) \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2. Any calibrated flow is unique and stable in the class of all distributional solutions.

Idea: Monitor the relative energy

$$\mathcal{E}[\boldsymbol{\chi}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^*](t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \{t\}} (1 - \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}) |\nabla \boldsymbol{\chi}|$$

and show $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathcal{E} \leq C\mathcal{E}$.

Sharp-interface limit of Allen-Cahn

Phase-field [Golovaty '97] (based on [Rubinstein–Sternberg '92]) for preserved order-parameter:

$$\partial_t u_{\varepsilon} = \Delta u_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} W'(u_{\varepsilon}) + \lambda_{\varepsilon}(t) \sqrt{2W(u_{\varepsilon})}, \quad W$$

where W is a double-well potential, e.g.,

$$W(u) = 3\sqrt{2}u^2(u-1)^2,$$



and

$$\lambda_{\varepsilon}(t) := -\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Delta u_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} W'(u_{\varepsilon})) \sqrt{2W(u_{\varepsilon})} \, \mathrm{d}x}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 2W(u_{\varepsilon}) \, \mathrm{d}x}$$

Sharp-interface limit of Allen-Cahn

Phase-field [Golovaty '97] (based on [Rubinstein–Sternberg '92]) for preserved order-parameter:

$$\partial_t u_{\varepsilon} = \Delta u_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} W'(u_{\varepsilon}) + \lambda_{\varepsilon}(t) \sqrt{2W(u_{\varepsilon})}, \quad W(u_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} W'(u_{\varepsilon}) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon$$

where W is a double-well potential, e.g.,

$$W(u) = 3\sqrt{2}u^2(u-1)^2,$$



and

$$\lambda_{\varepsilon}(t) := -\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Delta u_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} W'(u_{\varepsilon})) \sqrt{2W(u_{\varepsilon})} \, \mathrm{d}x}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 2W(u_{\varepsilon}) \, \mathrm{d}x}$$

Natural change of variables: $\phi(u) = \int_0^u \sqrt{2W(s)} \, ds$. Preserves the natural order-parameter $\psi_{\varepsilon} = \phi \circ u_{\varepsilon}$:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi_{\varepsilon}(x,t) \, \mathrm{d}x = 0.$$

2nd Main Result: Sharp-interface limit

In the limit $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we expect $u_{\varepsilon}(x,t) \to \chi_{\Omega(t)}(x)$, where $\Omega(t)$ is a solution to volume-preserving mean curvature flow.

2nd Main Result: Sharp-interface limit

In the limit $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we expect $u_{\varepsilon}(x,t) \to \chi_{\Omega(t)}(x)$, where $\Omega(t)$ is a solution to volume-preserving mean curvature flow.

Theorem (Krömer & L. '23)

For well-prepared initial conditions, as long as a classical volume-preserving mean curvature flow $(\Sigma(t))_{t \in [0,T]}$ exists, we have the optimal convergence rate

 $\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\psi_{\varepsilon}(\cdot,t)-\chi_{\Omega(t)}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} \leq C(d,T,(\Omega(t))_{t\in[0,T]})\varepsilon.$

2nd Main Result: Sharp-interface limit

In the limit $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we expect $u_{\varepsilon}(x,t) \to \chi_{\Omega(t)}(x)$, where $\Omega(t)$ is a solution to volume-preserving mean curvature flow.

Theorem (Krömer & L. '23)

For well-prepared initial conditions, as long as a classical volume-preserving mean curvature flow $(\Sigma(t))_{t \in [0,T]}$ exists, we have the optimal convergence rate

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\psi_{\varepsilon}(\cdot,t)-\chi_{\Omega(t)}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} \leq C(d,T,(\Omega(t))_{t\in[0,T]})\varepsilon.$$

Also follows from a Gronwall argument, here for the phase-field relative entropy (cf. [Fischer–L.–Simon '20 SIMA])

$$\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}[u_{\varepsilon}, \Sigma](t) := E_{\varepsilon}[u_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, t)] - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Idea of proof: Calibration & relative entropy

Key challenge in closing the Gronwall estimate $\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon} \leq C \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}$: Estimating

$$\operatorname{Err}(t) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \{t\}} \xi \cdot \nabla B\xi \big(\varepsilon |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 - |\nabla \psi_{\varepsilon}|\big) \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Idea of proof: Calibration & relative entropy

Key challenge in closing the Gronwall estimate $\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon} \leq C \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}$: Estimating

$$\operatorname{Err}(t) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \{t\}} \xi \cdot \nabla B\xi \big(\varepsilon |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 - |\nabla \psi_{\varepsilon}|\big) \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Easy: $\operatorname{Err}(t) \leq C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t)}$ We need: $\operatorname{Err}(t) \leq C\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t)$. Idea: Have freedom in the choice of tangential component of B_{\cdots}

Idea of proof: Calibration & relative entropy

Key challenge in closing the Gronwall estimate $\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon} \leq C \mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}$: Estimating

$$\operatorname{Err}(t) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \{t\}} \xi \cdot \nabla B\xi \big(\varepsilon |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 - |\nabla \psi_{\varepsilon}|\big) \,\mathrm{d}x.$$

Easy: $\operatorname{Err}(t) \leq C\sqrt{\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t)}$ We need: $\operatorname{Err}(t) \leq C\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon}(t)$. Idea: Have freedom in the choice of tangential component of B... Ansatz: $B = (V\nu + X) \circ \pi_{\Sigma}$ where X is a tangential vector field on Σ satisfying

$$\operatorname{div}_{\Sigma} X = VH - \langle VH \rangle \quad \text{on } \Sigma.$$

This problem is underdetermined, so make the Ansatz $X = \nabla_{\Sigma} \varphi$ for a potential φ solving

$$\Delta_{\Sigma}\varphi = VH - \langle VH \rangle \quad \text{on } \Sigma.$$

Volume-preserving mean curvature flow is a fundamental geometric evolution equation. Lacks a comparison principle!

- Volume-preserving mean curvature flow is a fundamental geometric evolution equation. Lacks a comparison principle!
- Nevertheless, gradient-flow calibrations yield uniqueness and stability of flows.

- Volume-preserving mean curvature flow is a fundamental geometric evolution equation. Lacks a comparison principle!
- Nevertheless, gradient-flow calibrations yield uniqueness and stability of flows.
- ► This concept also extends to higher-order flows: surface diffusion $V = \Delta_{\Sigma} H$ [Kroemer–L. '22]. Also here, the incompressibility $\nabla \cdot B = 0$ is useful!

- Volume-preserving mean curvature flow is a fundamental geometric evolution equation. Lacks a comparison principle!
- Nevertheless, gradient-flow calibrations yield uniqueness and stability of flows.
- ► This concept also extends to higher-order flows: surface diffusion $V = \Delta_{\Sigma} H$ [Kroemer–L. '22]. Also here, the incompressibility $\nabla \cdot B = 0$ is useful!
- Weak-strong uniqueness also for Mullins–Sekerka flow [Fischer–Hensel–L.–Simon '24].

- Volume-preserving mean curvature flow is a fundamental geometric evolution equation. Lacks a comparison principle!
- Nevertheless, gradient-flow calibrations yield uniqueness and stability of flows.
- ► This concept also extends to higher-order flows: surface diffusion $V = \Delta_{\Sigma} H$ [Kroemer–L. '22]. Also here, the incompressibility $\nabla \cdot B = 0$ is useful!
- Weak-strong uniqueness also for Mullins–Sekerka flow [Fischer–Hensel–L.–Simon '24].
- Similar to [Hensel-L. '24 JDG], the proof here should also apply for suitable varifold solutions (there, De Giorgi solutions), for example the one in [Takasao '22].

- Volume-preserving mean curvature flow is a fundamental geometric evolution equation. Lacks a comparison principle!
- Nevertheless, gradient-flow calibrations yield uniqueness and stability of flows.
- ► This concept also extends to higher-order flows: surface diffusion $V = \Delta_{\Sigma} H$ [Kroemer–L. '22]. Also here, the incompressibility $\nabla \cdot B = 0$ is useful!
- Weak-strong uniqueness also for Mullins–Sekerka flow [Fischer–Hensel–L.–Simon '24].
- Similar to [Hensel-L. '24 JDG], the proof here should also apply for suitable varifold solutions (there, De Giorgi solutions), for example the one in [Takasao '22].
- Boundary contact can be taken into account in the unconstrained case [Hensel–L. '23 IUMJ] and is expected to work here, too.